

STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY
DIVISION OF MORTGAGE LENDING

In re:
U.S. Loan Modification Services, LLC,
Jeff Strum, and Gail Strum

Respondents.

* * *

FINAL ORDER

The State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry, Division of Mortgage Lending (hereinafter, the "Division"), having served U.S. Loan Modification Services, LLC, Jeff Strum, and Gail Strum (hereinafter, "Respondents") on June 11, 2010, with its Order to Cease and Desist, Order Imposing Licensure Conditions, Notice of Intent to Impose Fine and Notice of Right to Request Hearing, attached hereto as **Exhibit "A"** and incorporated herein by reference, which notified Respondents that a final order would issue in this matter unless, within twenty (20) days of entry and receipt of said Order, Respondents requested a hearing to contest the charges against it, with said request to be made in writing, and;

Said Order having been sent to Respondents via certified mail and regular mail on June 11, 2010, and:

Respondents having requested a hearing in this matter, and this matter now having been heard by the State of Nevada, Department of Administration, Hearings Division who issued its Decision and Order on October 18, 2010, attached hereto as **Exhibit "B"** and incorporated herein by reference, and good cause appearing:

111

NOW, THEREFORE, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Decision and Order issued by the State of Nevada, Department of Administration, Hearings Division dated on October 18, 2010 shall represent the Final Order of the Division upon the terms contained therein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the sum of said administrative fines, fees and/or costs be paid in full immediately upon entry of the instant Order;

Dated this 25th day of October, 2010.

State of Nevada
Department of Business and Industry
Division of Mortgage Lending

By: Joseph L. Waltuch, Commissioner

Exhibit “A”

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY
DIVISION OF MORTGAGE LENDING

In re:

U.S. Loan Modification Services, LLC,
Jeff Strum, Gail Strum

Respondents.

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST,
ORDER IMPOSING LICENSURE CONDITIONS,
NOTICE OF INTENT TO IMPOSE FINE
AND NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST HEARING

The licensing and regulation of loan modification consultants, foreclosure consultants and other persons providing 'covered services' as defined in Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") 645F.310 in the State of Nevada is governed by Chapter 645F of NRS and by permanent regulation R052-09 promulgated pursuant thereto (the "Regulation"). The State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry, Division of Mortgage Lending (the "Division") has the general duty to exercise supervision and control over covered service providers, foreclosure consultants and loan modification consultants. See Chapter 645F of NRS and the Regulation. Pursuant to that authority, the Division makes the following Factual Allegations, Violations of Law, and Order, as follows:

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

1. Based upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein mentioned, U.S. Loan Modification Services ("U.S. Loan") was and is a Nevada limited liability company with an office located at 2461 Professional Court #110, Las Vegas, Nevada. Currently, U. S. Loan's status with the Nevada Secretary of State is "active."
2. On approximately January 27 2010, the Division issued U.S. Loan an independent licensee covered service provider license (License No. 3351). The Division

currently classifies U.S. Loan's license as "closed."

3. Based upon information and belief, and at all relevant times herein mentioned, Jeff Strum ("JS"), an individual, and Gail Strum ("GS"), an individual, were the owners, officers and managers of U.S. Loan.

4. On approximately January 27, 2010, the Division issued JS an associated licensee license (License No. 46769) as an employee of U.S. Loan. The Division currently classifies JS's license as "inactive."

5. On approximately January 12, 2010, the Division issued GS an associated licensee license (License No. 46768) as an employee of U.S. Loan. The Division currently classifies GS's license as "inactive."

6. U.S. Loan, JS and GS are hereinafter referred to individually as Respondent or collectively as Respondents, as the context requires.

7. Pursuant to the Regulation, “[i]n addition to the other duties imposed upon the Commissioner by law for the general supervision of licensees, the Commissioner will...[c]onduct an examination of each independent licensee doing business in this State within 3 months after the independent licensee commences business in this State and at least annually thereafter...” See the Regulation, Section 63.

8. Pursuant to Section 63 of the Regulation, the Division commenced a regularly scheduled annual examination of U.S. Loan on April 5, 2010 which revealed, among other things, that U.S. Loan:

a. Failed to place moneys collected from homeowners for the provision of covered services into a separate trust account at a federally insured depository institution located in this state and designated as "trust funds," "escrow accounts," or some other appropriate name indicating the funds did not belong to U.S. Loan, and commingled homeowners' money with its own;

b. Failed to keep and maintain complete and suitable records of all covered services transactions it conducted, including, but not limited to, records related to the trust account(s) which clearly identify: the name, address and telephone number of each homeowner; the account number of each loan; the address and contact information of each lender or loan servicer related to each loan; the amount and date of each deposit; and the amount and date of each withdrawal with the name of each recipient;

c. Failed to reconcile its bank accounts and/or failed to have monthly reconciled balances on deposits equal to all moneys collected and deposited and not yet legitimately disbursed;

d. Withdrew moneys collected from homeowners from its bank account without being able to explain what the money was used for; and

e. Utilized or converted trust moneys for its own personal business purposes.

9. Pursuant to NRS 645F.394, "[a]ll money paid to a person who performs any covered service for compensation, a foreclosure consultant or a loan modification consultant by a person in full or partial payment of covered services to be performed: (a) [m]ust be deposited in a separate checking account located in a federally insured depository financial institution or credit union in this State which must be designated a trust account; (b) [m]ust be kept separate from money belonging to the person who performs any covered service for compensation, the foreclosure consultant or the loan modification consultant; and (c) [m]ust not be withdrawn by the person who performs any covered service for compensation, foreclosure consultant or loan modification consultant until the completion of every covered service as agreed upon in the contract for covered services." See NRS 645F.394(1).

10. Pursuant to Section 73 of the Regulation, "a 'separate trust account' means a trust account maintained with a federally insured depository institution located in this State that is separate from accounts belonging to the independent licensee. The trust account:

1 [m]ust at all times have a reconciled balance on deposit equal to all money collected and
2 deposited and not yet legitimately disbursed... [and] [m]ust be reconciled monthly by the
3 independent licensee..."

4 11. Pursuant to NRS 645F.394, "[t]he person who performs any covered service for
5 compensation, the foreclosure consultant or the loan modification consultant shall keep
6 records of all money deposited in a trust account pursuant to subsection 1. The records must
7 clearly indicate the date and from whom he or she received money, the date deposited, the
8 dates of withdrawals, and other pertinent information concerning the transaction, and must
9 show clearly for whose account the money is deposited and to whom the money belongs.
10 The person who performs any covered service for compensation, the foreclosure consultant
11 or the loan modification consultant shall balance each separate trust account at least monthly
12 and provide to the Commissioner, on a form provided by the Commissioner, an annual
13 accounting which shows an annual reconciliation of each separate trust account. All such
14 records and money are subject to inspection and audit by the Commissioner and authorized
15 representatives of the Commissioner." See NRS 645F.394(2).

16 12. Pursuant to Section 74 of the Regulation "1. Except as otherwise provided in
17 this section, not later than 120 days after the last day of each fiscal year for an independent
18 licensee, the independent licensee shall submit to the Commissioner a financial statement
19 that: a. [i]s dated not earlier than the last day of the fiscal year; and b. [h]as been prepared
20 from the books and records of the independent licensee by an independent certified public
21 accountant who holds a permit to engage in the practice of certified public accounting in this
22 State or another state."

23 13. To date, U.S. Loan has failed to file its financial statement with the
24 Commissioner.
25
26
27
28

1 14. Pursuant to Section 103 of the Regulation, “[f]or each violation committed by a
2 person who engages in an activity for which licensure as a covered service provider,
3 foreclosure consultant or loan modification consultant is required under this chapter and
4 chapter 645F of NRS, without regard to whether the person is licensed under this chapter and
5 chapter 645F of NRS, the Commissioner may impose upon the person an administrative fine
6 of not more than \$10,000, and if the person holds a license as a covered service provider,
7 foreclosure consultant or loan modification consultant, the Commissioner may suspend,
8 revoke or place conditions upon the person’s license, or may do both, if the person... [d]oes
9 not conduct business in accordance with law or has violated any provision of this chapter or
10 chapter 645F of NRS or any order of the Commissioner;...[i]s in such financial condition that
11 the person cannot continue in business with safety to his customers;...[or] [h]as commingled
12 the money or other property of a client with his own or has converted the money or property of
13 others to his own use...” See Section 103(3)(a), (c), (d), and (n) of the Regulation.

15 15. Pursuant to Section 103 of the Regulation, “[a] person who engages in an
16 activity for which licensure as a covered service provider, foreclosure consultant or loan
17 modification consultant is required under this chapter and chapter 645F of NRS, without
18 regard to whether the person is licensed under this chapter and chapter 645F of NRS, may be
19 required by the Commissioner to pay restitution to any person who has suffered an economic
20 loss as a result of a violation of the provisions of this chapter or chapter 645F of NRS....” See
21 Section 103(2) of the Regulation.

23 16. Pursuant to Section 108 of the Regulation, “[i]f a person engages in an activity
24 in violation of the provisions of this chapter or chapter 645F of NRS or an order of the
25 Commissioner, the Commissioner may issue an order directing the person to cease and
26 desist from engaging in the activity.” See Section 108(1) of the Regulation.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VIOLATIONS OF LAW

Respondent U.S. Loan violated NRS 645F.394 and Section 103 of the Regulation in that such Respondent:

1. Failed to file an annual accounting and a financial statement, in violation of NRS 645F.394(2) and Section 74 of the Regulation;
2. Failed to deposit client moneys in a trust account and/or removed money from a trust account when not authorized to do so, in violation of NRS 645F.394(1) and (2) and Sections 73 and 103(3)(n) of the Regulation;
3. Does not conduct business in accordance with law, in violation of Section 103(3)(c) of the Regulation;
4. Is in such financial condition that it cannot conduct business with safety to its customers, in violation of Section 103(3)(d) of the Regulation; and
5. Has commingled clients' money with its own or has converted such money to its own use, in violation of NRS 645F.394(1) and (2) and Regulation 103(3)(n).

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, THE COMMISSIONER OF THE DIVISION HEREBY ORDERS that, based on Respondent U.S. Loan's multiple violations of Chapter 645F of NRS and the Regulation, and pursuant to Chapter 645F of NRS and Section 108(1) of the Regulation, **RESPONDENTS U.S. LOAN, JS AND GS, AND EACH OF THEM, IMMEDIATELY CEASE AND DESIST** from the following activities:

1. Advertising for and/or soliciting covered services, foreclosure consultant and/or loan modification consultant business in the State of Nevada, whether as an independent licensee or as an associate licensee, without further written approval of the Division to conduct such activities; and

2. Offering or providing any of the services of a covered service provider foreclosure consultant and/or loan modification consultant, or otherwise engaging in, carrying on or holding themselves out as engaging in or carrying on the business of a covered service provider, foreclosure consultant and/or loan modification consultant, whether as an independent licensee or as an associate licensee, in the State of Nevada without further written approval from the Division to conduct such activities.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to Chapter 645F of NRS and Section 108(4) of the Regulation, that upon filing a verified petition with the Division within **twenty (20) days** of receipt of this Order to Cease and Desist, Respondents, and each of them, shall be entitled to a hearing with regard to the contents of this Order to Cease and Desist. Each such Respondent is advised, however, that the provisions of this Order to Cease and Desist are effective immediately upon such Respondent being served therewith, whether or not such Respondent requests a hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to Chapter 645F of NRS and Section 113(2) of the Regulation that upon written application to the Division within **twenty (20) days** of the date of this Order, Respondents, and each of them, shall be entitled to a hearing with regards to the contents of this Order referenced hereafter. At that hearing the Division will seek:

1. The imposition of an administrative fine against Respondents, jointly and severally, in the amount of Fifty Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$50,000.00), payable to the Division on account of such Respondents violations of Chapter 645F of NRS and the Regulation, the Division's examination fees in the amount of Four Thousand Seven Hundred and Forty Dollars and No Cents (\$4,740.00), the Division's investigatory and other costs in the amount of One Hundred Eighty Dollars and No Cents (\$180.00), as well as the Division's attorney's fees, if any, incurred herein, all to be proven at the hearing; and

1 2. Payment, in full, to the Division of both the administrative fine in the amount of
2 Fifty Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$50,000.00), the Division's examination fees to date in
3 the amount of Four Thousand Seven Hundred and Forty Dollars and No Cents (\$4,740.00),
4 the Division's investigatory and other costs in the amount of One Hundred Eighty Dollars and
5 No Cents (\$180.00), as well as the Division's attorney's fees, if any, by such Respondents,
6 who shall be jointly and severally liable, within **thirty (30) days** of entry of the Final Order.

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED**, pursuant to Chapter 645F of NRS and Section 103(2) of
8 the Regulation, that Respondents immediately (a) cancel all contracts, if any, with Nevada
9 homeowners and refund to such homeowners all moneys collected by such Respondents
10 from such homeowners for which completed services have not been rendered, or (b) obtain
11 the written consent of the homeowners to transfer their files, moneys and contracts to a
12 licensed, bonded independent licensee, HUD-approved counseling service or other entity
13 exempt from Chapter 645F of NRS.

15 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED**, that Respondents shall:

16 1. Immediately notify in writing all homeowners involved in an open or pending
17 covered service transaction of this Order;

19 2. Submit to the Commissioner, within three (3) business days from the date of the
20 service of this Order, a list of all open or pending covered service transactions, along with proof
21 of mailing of the written notice required in section 1, above;

22 3. Retain, within five (5) business days after service of this Order and at their own
23 expense and choosing, a Nevada licensed or registered certified public accountant to reconcile
24 all books and records of the licensed entity's operating accounts and trust accounts maintained
25 for customer funds, including reconciliation to bank statements, and all transfers between
26 operation and trust accounts, from inception of Respondent U.S. Loan to May 25, 2010, and
27 submit the reconciliation to the Commissioner within thirty (30) days from the date of the service

1 of this Order. Such reconciliation shall specifically include a complete accounting of all moneys
2 that, pursuant to NRS 645F.394 and Section 103 of the Regulation, should have been held in
3 trust for each homeowner for whom Respondent U.S. Loan has not fully completed covered
4 services for which it contracted. Further, such certified public accountant shall be unrelated to
5 Respondents or their family members, and Respondents shall provide the name, address, and
6 telephone number of such certified public accountant to the Division immediately upon his or
7 her retention;

8 4. Upon submission to the Commissioner of the reconciliation provided for in
9 Number 3, above, Respondents shall deposit sufficient money into a trust account(s) to rectify
10 any shortfall that is discovered as a result of such reconciliation, and shall provide proof of such
11 deposit in writing to the Commissioner; and

12 **NOTICE TO RESPONDENTS:** If you request a hearing, you are specifically informed
13 that you have the right to appear and be heard in your defense, either personally or through
14 your counsel of choice at your own expense. At the hearing, if one is timely requested, the
15 Division will call witnesses and present evidence against you. You have the right to respond
16 and to present relevant evidence and argument on all issues involved. You have the right to
17 call and examine witnesses, introduce exhibits and cross-examine opposing witnesses on any
18 matter relevant to the issues involved.

19 21 All notices to the Division must be sent by U.S. Postal Service or private carrier or
20 delivery service to:

22 23 Commissioner
24 Division of Mortgage Lending
25 7220 Bermuda Road, Suite A
Las Vegas, NV 89119

26 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that if any Respondent fails to abide by any of the above
27 referenced conditions now imposed upon his, her or its license under NRS Chapter 645F and
28 the Regulation, such failure shall constitute grounds for summary suspension, revocation or

1 other discipline deemed appropriate in the discretion and within the statutory authority of the
2 Commissioner.

3 Should Respondents, or any of them, not timely request a hearing within **twenty (20)**
4 **days of the date of this Order**; the Division will enter a Final Order in this matter against such
5 Respondents, and each of them, as required by Section 113(2) of the Regulation. The
6 Division's Final Order will require payment by such Respondents, jointly and severally, of the
7 administrative fine, examination fees, investigatory and other costs, the Division's attorney's
8 fees and restitution amounts within **thirty (30) days** of the entry of the Final Order.

9 Dated this 9th day of June, 2010.
10

11 State of Nevada
12 Department of Business and Industry
13 Division of Mortgage Lending
14


15 By: 
16 Joseph L. Waltuch, Commissioner
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Exhibit “B”

8
2010/51

RECEIVED

OCT 20 REC'D

1 **BEFORE THE APPEALS OFFICER**

Mortgage Lending Division

2 In the Administrative Action of:

Appeal No: 76549-NR

3 U.S. Loan Modification Services, LLC,
4 Jeff Strum, and Gail Strum,

FILED

5 Respondents.

OCT 19 2010

APPEALS OFFICE

7 **DECISION AND ORDER**

8 This matter was heard on October 7, 2010 before Hearing Officer Nancy Richins, Esq.
9 Respondent Jeff Strum ("Respondent Strum" was present in proper person. Respondent Gail
10 Strum was not present, nor were any other representatives of Respondent U.S. Loan
11 Modification Services, LLC ("U.S. Loan") present. The State of Nevada Division of Mortgage
12 Lending ("the Division") was represented by and through its counsel, CATHERINE CORTEZ
13 MASTO, Attorney General, by Deputy Attorney General, CHRISTINE M. PARVAN. The
14 Division's examiner, Connie Clapham, was present and testified as a witness for the Division.
15 Following the submittal of the documents by the Respondents and the Division, testimony by
16 both Respondent Strum and Examiner Clapham, and comment in response by both parties,
17 the matter was submitted for decision on October 7, 2010.

20 **FINDINGS OF FACT**

21 The licensing and regulation of loan modification consultants, foreclosure consultants
22 and other persons providing 'covered services' as defined in Nevada Revised Statutes
23 ("NRS") 645F.310 in the State of Nevada is governed by Chapter 645F of NRS and by
24 permanent regulation R052-09 promulgated pursuant thereto (the "Regulation"). The Division
25 has the general duty to exercise supervision and control over covered service providers,
26 foreclosure consultants and loan modification consultants. See Chapter 645F of NRS and the
27 Regulation. Respondent Strum contends that neither NRS 645F nor the Regulation applies to
28

1 transactions involving homeowners who reside outside the State of Nevada or who reside in
2 Nevada, but own property outside the State of Nevada. The Hearing Officer found no basis in
3 statutory or regulatory authority to support Respondent Strum's contention. Respondent
4 Strum also contends that neither NRS 645F nor the Regulation applies to transactions
5 involving attorneys, despite the fact that these attorneys are not licensed in the State of
6 Nevada. The Hearing Officer found no basis in statutory or regulatory authority to support
7 Respondent Strum's contention.

8 From at least March 2009 to August 2010, Respondents advertised for and/or solicited
9 covered services, foreclosure consultant and/or loan modification consultant business in or
10 from the State of Nevada, and offered or provided the services of a covered service provider,
11 foreclosure consultant and/or loan modification consultant, or otherwise engaged in, carried
12 on or held themselves out as engaging in or carrying on the business of a covered service
13 provider, foreclosure consultant and/or loan modification consultant, in or from the State of
14 Nevada.

15 Pursuant to Section 1 of LCB File No. E0033-08 ("Emergency Regulation"), "[t]he
16 license of a loan modification and foreclosure consultant or loan modification and foreclosure
17 consultant agent pursuant to these emergency regulations is effective upon the date that the
18 Division receives [the applicant's]...completed application ..." On August 10, 2010,
19 Respondent Strum applied for a 645F license for U.S. Loan Modification Services dba The
20 Mortgage Modification Law Group.

21 On approximately January 27 2010, the Division issued U.S. Loan an independent
22 licensee covered service provider license (License No. 3351). Respondents Jeff and Gail
23 Strum (Associated License Nos. 46769 and 46768, respectively) were the owners, officers
24 and managers of U.S. Loan.

25 Pursuant to Section 63 of the Regulation, the Division commenced a regularly
26

1 scheduled annual examination of U.S. Loan on April 5, 2010 which revealed, among other
2 things, that U.S. Loan failed to place moneys collected from homeowners for the provision of
3 covered services into a separate trust account at a federally insured depository institution
4 located in this state and designated as "trust funds," "escrow accounts," or some other
5 appropriate name indicating the funds did not belong to U.S. Loan, and commingled
6 homeowners' money with its own. Examiner Clapham testified, and the Division submitted
7 evidence, that Respondents maintained at least six (6) bank accounts including, Citibank
8 Account #500352836 (hereinafter "Citibank Operating Account"), Citibank Account
9 #500352836 (hereinafter "Citibank MLD Account"), Bank of America Account #501005924130
10 (hereinafter "BOA Direct Customer Deposits"), Bank of America Account #501005924127
11 (hereinafter "BOA Customer Check Deposits"), Bank of America Account #501005923173
12 (hereinafter "BOA General Operating Account") and Bank of American #501005923953
13 (hereinafter "BOA The Mortgage Modification Law Group Account"). None of these accounts
14 was a proper trust account, in violation of NRS 645F and the Regulation.
15

16 The Division submitted evidence in the form of correspondence, dated April 6, 2010,
17 from Respondent Strum which indicated that the four (4) Bank of America accounts had
18 "some crossover between them" and that "customers may have not only deposited into [BOA
19 Direct Customer Deposits] and check deposits may have been made not only into [BOA
20 Customer Check Deposits]." Examiner Clapham testified that, between August 2009 and
21 February 2010, the Citibank Operating Account was consistently used as a depository for
22 client monies, in violation of NRS 645F.394(1) and (2) and Sections 73 and 103(3)(n) of the
23 Regulation. Such monies should have been deposited in a properly labeled "Trust" account.
24 Examiner Clapham testified that Respondents and/or Respondents' employee, Rexanna
25 Borden, indicated that the incorrectly-named Citibank MLD Account was "supposed to be a
26 'trust' account," but the Division submitted evidence that, between the months of August 2009
27 and September 2009, the Citibank MLD Account was used to deposit monies collected from
28 homeowners for the provision of covered services.

1 to February 2010, either minimal or no funds were deposited in this account.
2

3 Examiner Clapham also testified that Respondents failed to keep and maintain complete
4 and suitable records of all covered services transactions they conducted, including, but not
5 limited to, records related to the trust account(s) which clearly identify: the name, address and
6 telephone number of each homeowner; the account number of each loan; the address and
7 contact information of each lender or loan servicer related to each loan; the amount and date of
8 each deposit; and the amount and date of each withdrawal with the name of each recipient.
9

10 Examiner Clapham testified that, at the date of the examination, Respondents did not maintain
11 any client ledgers. Respondent Strum testified that such client ledgers exist, but in light of the
12 evidence that despite numerous attempts by the Division and its counsel, Respondents have
13 never provided such ledgers, Respondent Strum's testimony as to the existence of the client
14 ledgers was not credible to the Hearing Officer.

15 The Division proved, by substantial evidence, that Respondents failed to reconcile their
16 bank accounts and/or failed to have monthly reconciled balances on deposit equal to all
17 moneys collected and deposited and not yet legitimately disbursed, withdrew moneys
18 collected from homeowners from their bank accounts without being able to explain what the
19 money was used for, and utilized or converted trust moneys for their own personal business
20 purposes. Examiner Clapham testified that she was only able to review Respondents' bank
21 statements for the months of August 2009 to February 2010 because Respondents' and or
22 Respondents' employee Borden indicated that any and all documents for account after
23 February 2010 were "unavailable." Despite numerous attempts by the Division and its
24 counsel, Respondent Strum has not, to date, provided the documents and/or other required
25 information pertaining to bank account records after February 2010. Examiner Clapham
26 testified, however, that, from August 2009 to December 2009 alone, at least over Three
27 Hundred Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$300,000.00) in "Members Draws" were withdrawn
28

1 and/or transferred from Respondents' bank accounts, but neither Examiner Clapham nor the
2 Division can ascertain to which homeowners these monies belong, or for what purpose(s)
3 these monies were used. Examiner Clapham also testified that, from August 2009 to
4 December 2009 alone, at least over Two Hundred and Twenty Thousand Dollars and No
5 Cents (\$220,000.00) was withdrawn and/or transferred for "American Express," but neither
6 Examiner Clapham nor the Division can ascertain to which homeowners these monies
7 belong, or for what purpose(s) these monies were used. Examiner Clapham testified, and
8 Respondents did not deny, that Respondent Strum was the only one who had unlimited
9 access to all six (6) of Respondents' bank accounts. Further, Respondent Strum admitted,
10 both in correspondence to the Division and at the hearing, that Respondents cannot reconcile
11 their bank accounts, in violation of Section 73 of the Regulation, which provides that the trust
12 account "[m]ust at all times have a reconciled balance on deposit equal to all money collected
13 and deposited and not yet legitimately disbursed... [and] [m]ust be reconciled monthly by the
14 independent licensee..."
15

16 The Division also points out that, pursuant to correspondence dated July 29, 2010,
17 Respondents' bond with Fidelity and Deposit Company of Maryland is cancelled. Based on
18 this failure to maintain a bond, in violation of Section 80 of the Regulation, Respondents
19 should not be engaged in any activity that requires an independent license pursuant to NRS
20 645F.
21

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

22 The Hearing Officer finds that the evidence as a whole sufficiently demonstrates that
23 Respondents knowingly and willfully:
24

25 1. Failed to file an annual accounting and a financial statement, in violation of NRS
26 645F.394(2) and Section 74 of the Regulation;
27
28

2. Failed to deposit client moneys in a trust account and/or removed money from a trust account when not authorized to do so, in violation of NRS 645F.394(1) and (2) and Sections 73 and 103(3)(n) of the Regulation;

3. Did not and do not conduct business in accordance with law, in violation of Section 103(3)(c) of the Regulation;

4. Are in such financial condition that they cannot conduct business with safety to their customers, in violation of Section 103(3)(d) of the Regulation;

5. Have commingled clients' money with their own or have converted such money to their own use, in violation of NRS 645F.394(1) and (2) and Regulation 103(3)(n); and

6. Have failed to keep in full force and effect a proper surety bond payable to the State of Nevada, in violation of Section 80 of the Regulation.

ORDER

Based upon the testimony and evidence submitted, the Hearing Officer concludes that the imposition of an administrative fine in this matter for the six (6) violations of NRS 645F and the Regulation in the total amount of Fifty Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$50,000.00) is appropriate.

Based upon the respective violations of NRS 645F and the Regulation, Respondents are to reimburse the Division its administrative fees and costs in the amount of Ten Thousand One Hundred Eighty Four Dollars and No Cents (10,184.00), including Three Hundred Fifty Dollars and No Cents (\$350.00) in administrative costs, Five Thousand Two Hundred Fourteen Dollars and No Cents (\$5,214.00) in examination fees and related collection costs, One Thousand Four Hundred Forty Dollars and No Cents (\$1,440.00) in Examiner fees in preparation for the hearing, and One Hundred Eighty Dollars and No Cents (\$180.00) in investigative costs. Respondents are to also reimburse the Division its attorneys' fees in the amount of Seven Thousand Seven Hundred Eighty Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$7,780.50).

1 Based upon the testimony and evidence submitted, the Hearing Officer concludes that
2 the totality of the facts and circumstances concerning Respondents' violations of NRS 645F
3 and the Regulation require that Respondents, and each of them, IMMEDIATELY CEASE
4 AND DESIST from the following activities:

5 1. Advertising for and/or soliciting covered services, foreclosure consultant and/or
6 loan modification consultant business in the State of Nevada, whether as an independent
7 licensee or as an associate licensee, without further written approval of the Division to
8 conduct such activities; and

9 2. Offering or providing any of the services of a covered service provider,
10 foreclosure consultant and/or loan modification consultant, or otherwise engaging in, carrying
11 on or holding themselves out as engaging in or carrying on the business of a covered service
12 provider, foreclosure consultant and/or loan modification consultant, whether as an
13 independent licensee or as an associate licensee, in the State of Nevada without further
14 written approval from the Division to conduct such activities.

16 The Hearing Officer also concludes that the totality of the facts and circumstances
17 concerning Respondents' violations of NRS 645F and the Regulation require that
18 Respondents immediately (a) cancel all contracts, if any, with any and all homeowners and
19 refund to such homeowners all moneys collected by US Loan Modification Services, The
20 Mortgage Modification Law Group, Jeff Strum, or Gail Strum from such homeowners for which
21 completed services have not been rendered, or (b) obtain the written consent of the
22 homeowners to transfer their files, moneys and contracts to a licensed, bonded independent
23 licensee, HUD-approved counseling service or other entity exempt from Chapter 645F of
24 NRS.

The Hearing Officer also concludes that the totality of the facts and circumstances concerning Respondents' violations of NRS 645F and the Regulation require that Respondents:

1. Immediately notify in writing all homeowners involved in an open or pending covered service transaction with US Loan Modification Services, The Mortgage Modification Law Group, Jeff Strum, or Gail Strum of this Decision and Order;

2. Submit to the Commissioner, within five (5) business days from the date of the service of this Decision and Order, a list of all open or pending covered service transactions with US Loan Modification Services, The Mortgage Modification Law Group, Jeff Strum, or Gail Strum, along with proof of mailing of the written notice required in section 1, above;

3. Retain, within five (5) business days after service of this Order and at their own expense and choosing, a Nevada licensed or registered certified public accountant to reconcile all books and records of US Loan Modification Services and The Mortgage Modification Law Group's operating accounts and trust accounts maintained for customer funds, including reconciliation to bank statements, and all transfers between operation and trust accounts, from July 1, 2009 to the present time, and submit the reconciliation to the Commissioner within fourteen (14) days from the date of retention of the certified public accountant. Such reconciliation shall specifically include, but is not limited to, a complete accounting of all moneys that, pursuant to NRS 645F.394 and Section 103 of the Regulation, should have been held in trust for each homeowner for whom US Loan Modification Services, The Mortgage Modification Law Group, Jeff Strum, or Gail Strum have not fully completed covered services for which they contracted. Further, such certified public accountant shall be unrelated to Respondents or their family members, and Respondents shall provide the name, address, and telephone number of such certified public accountant to the Division immediately upon his or her retention; and

1 4. Upon submission to the Commissioner of the reconciliation provided for in
2 Number 3, above, Respondents shall, within three (3) days, deposit sufficient money into a trust
3 account(s) to rectify any shortfall that is discovered as a result of such reconciliation, and shall
4 provide proof of such deposit in writing to the Commissioner.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED** this 19th day of October, 2010.

6 By: _____
7
8
9
10

Nancy K. Richins, Esq.
Hearing Officer

11 Submitted by:
12
13

Christine M. Palvan, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General
555 E. Washington Ave., # 3900
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Attorneys for The State of Nevada Division of Mortgage Lending

14 **NOTICE:** Pursuant to NRS 233B.130, should any party desire to appeal this final
15 determination of the Appeals Officer, a Petition for Judicial Review must be filed with the
16 District Court within thirty (30) days after service by mail of this decision.

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned, an employee of the State of Nevada, Department of Administration, Hearings Division, does hereby certify that on the date shown below, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was duly mailed, postage prepaid **OR** placed in the appropriate addressee runner file at the Department of Administration, Hearings Division, 2200 S. Rancho Drive, #220, Las Vegas, Nevada, to the following:

US LOAN MODIFICATION SVCS LLC
JEFF STRUM & GAIL STRUM
9608 GRAND ISLE LN
LAS VEGAS NV 89144

DIVISION OF MORTGAGE LENDING
STATE OF NEVADA
NANCY CORBIN
7220 BERMUDA RD STE A
LAS VEGAS NV 89119

CHRISTINE PARVAN ESQ
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
555 E WASHINGTON AVE STE 3900
LAS VEGAS NV 89101

Dated this 19 th day of October, 2010.

Rose A. Wagner, Legal Secretary II
Employee of the State of Nevada