STATE OF NEVADA

DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY DIVISION OF MORTGAGE LENDING

* * *

In re:

Residential Finance Corporation,

Respondent.

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

COMES NOW, The State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry, Division of Mortgage Lending (the "Division"), and Residential Finance Corporation (the "Respondent") (each a "Party" and collectively, the "Parties") and hereby stipulate and agree through this Stipulated Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") as follows:

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

WHEREAS, Respondent is a licensed mortgage banker operating within the State of Nevada, and was issued a mortgage banker's license pursuant to Chapter 645E of the Nevada Revised Statutes and the regulations promulgated thereunder on March 12, 2007;

WHEREAS, pursuant to NRS 645E.300, the Division is charged with conducting "...such investigations as may be necessary to determine whether any person has violated any provision of this chapter, a regulation adopted pursuant to this chapter or an order of the Commissioner." <u>See</u>, NRS 645E.300(2)(c);

WHEREAS, pursuant to NRS 645E.300, the Division is further charged with conducting "...such other examinations, periodic or special audits, investigations and hearings as may be

necessary and proper for the efficient administration of the laws of this State regarding mortgage bankers..." <u>See</u>, NRS 645E.300(2)(e);

WHEREAS, the Respondent is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Ohio with its corporate office located at 401 N. Front Street, Suite 300, Columbus, Ohio 43215;

WHEREAS, the Respondent was issued a mortgage banker license (License No. 6012) by the Division pursuant to Chapter 645E of NRS;

WHEREAS, the Respondent has only one office licensed by the Division to conduct mortgage banker activity in the State of Nevada located at 7251 W. Lake Mead Boulevard, Suite 315, Las Vegas, Nevada 89128;

WHEREAS, the Respondent has never been issued a mortgage broker license by the Division pursuant to Chapter 645B of NRS;

WHEREAS, based upon information and belief, at relevant times herein mentioned, Matthew Goodrich ("Goodrich"), Danielle Wiess ("Wiess"), Michael Schub ("Schub") and Marcos Flores ("Flores") were loan originators affiliated with, or employed by, Residential Finance and conducted mortgage banker activity in the State of Nevada from Residential Finance's corporate office located at 401 N. Front Street, Suite 300, Columbus, Ohio 43215 ("Unlicensed Ohio Office");

WHEREAS, on approximately December 5, 2007, the Division received a written complaint ("Complaint") from two individuals, CT and EG ("Complainants") concerning Residential Finance, alleging, among other things, that:

- a. After receiving a telemarketing lead in approximately May 2007,
 Complainants contacted Residential Finance to refinance their home located in Las Vegas,
 Nevada;
 - b. Goodrich, a loan originator affiliated with, or employed by, Residential

Finance and conducting mortgage banker activity in the State of Nevada from Residential Finance's Unlicensed Ohio Office, discussed two loans with Complainants:

- (i) An initial loan with a higher interest rate; and
- (ii) A subsequent loan with a lower interest rate after Complainants' credit was repaired with the assistance of Goodrich. Complainants obtained the initial loan with a higher interest rate. Per Goodrich the loan was not to contain a prepayment penalty;
- c. Upon receipt of a copy of their signed loan documents, Complainants contacted Goodrich, having noticed that the initial loan had a prepayment penalty:
- d. Goodrich assured Complainants that the prepayment penalty would be waived upon origination of the subsequent loan;
- e. Thereafter, all calls to Goodrich concerning the subsequent loan went to Goodrich's voice mailbox. Goodrich failed to respond to Complainants' e-mails;
- f. Goodrich eventually returned Complainants' calls and said that he had several options for them, including a 4% loan and a 5% 40-year loan. Complainants' asked for additional information on those loans but Goodrich never responded;

WHEREAS, pursuant to NRS 645E.620, whether or not a complaint has been filed, the Division may investigate a mortgage banker or other person if, for any reason, it appears that, "...(t)he mortgage banker is conducting business in violation of any provision of this chapter, a regulation adopted pursuant to this chapter or an order of the Commissioner; (t)he person is offering or providing any of the services of a mortgage banker or otherwise engaging in, carrying on or holding himself out as engaging in or carrying on the business of a mortgage banker without being licensed or exempt from licensing pursuant to the provisions of this chapter; or (t)he person is violating any other provision of this chapter, a regulation adopted pursuant to this chapter or an order of the Commissioner...." <u>See</u>, NRS 645E.620(1)(a), (b), (c);

WHEREAS, pursuant to NRS 645E.900, unless a person is exempt from Chapter 645E of NRS and complies with exemption requirements, "(i)t is unlawful for any person to offer or provide any of the services of a mortgage banker or otherwise to engage in, carry on or hold himself out as engaging in or carrying on the business of a mortgage banker without first obtaining a license as a mortgage banker pursuant to this chapter....";

WHEREAS, pursuant to NRS 645E.200, "(a) person who wishes to be licensed as a mortgage banker must file a written application for a license with the Office of the Commissioner and pay the fee required...An application for a license as a mortgage banker must...(s)tate the name, residence and address and business address of the applicant and the location of each principal office and branch office at which the mortgage banker will conduct business in this State, including, without limitation, any office or other place of business located outside this State from which the mortgage banker will conduct business in this State...." See, NRS 645E.200(1)(b);

WHEREAS, pursuant to NRS 645E.100, a mortgage banker is defined to mean "...(a) person who, directly or indirectly...(d)oes not engage in any...act or transaction described in the definition of 'mortgage broker,' as set forth in NRS 645B.0127, unless the person is also licensed as a mortgage broker pursuant to chapter 645B of NRS...." <u>See</u>, NRS 645E.100(1)(b)(2);

WHEREAS, after receiving the above-described Complaint regarding Residential Finance, the Division conducted an investigation of the allegations in question. This investigation revealed, among other things, that:

- a. Residential Finance has never been licensed by the Division to conduct mortgage banker activity in the State of Nevada pursuant to Chapter 645E of NRS from any location other than 7251 W. Lake Mead Boulevard, Suite 315, Las Vegas, Nevada 89128.
 - b. Goodrich, Wiess, Schub and Flores, or any of them, have/has never

been licensed by the Division to conduct mortgage banker activity in the State of Nevada pursuant to Chapter 645E of NRS;

- c. From approximately May 2007 to September 2007, Residential Finance, through Goodrich, Wiess, Schub and Flores, conducted mortgage banker activity in the State of Nevada from Residential Finance's unlicensed Ohio office, including discussing loan terms, taking information from Complainants and other loan applicants (VC and DB, VG and SG, and MR) and preparing and signing Form 1003's, Uniform Residential Loan Applications
- d. At the time of conducting the above-referenced mortgage banker activities, Residential Finance, Goodrich, Wiess, Schub and Flores, or any of them, were/was neither a licensed mortgage banker nor exempt from licensing pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 645E of NRS;
- e. Complainants' loan was brokered to Option One Mortgage and a yield spread premium ("YSP") was paid to Residential Finance in connection with this cash-out refinance transaction; and
- f. The VG and SG loan was brokered and a YSP was paid to Residential Finance in connection with this cash-out refinance transaction;

WHEREAS, Goodrich, through his corporate office, was asked to respond in writing to the complaint but failed to do so. However, in a series of e-mails exchanged between Andrea J. Golyer, Compliance Audit Investigator III with the Division ("Golyer") and Jennifer Latta, associate counsel with Residential Finance ("Latta"), Latta confirmed, among other things, that:

- a. Contact was made with Complainants through a telemarketing lead;
- b. In 2007, Residential Finance originated approximately 5 mortgage loans in the State of Nevada out of its unlicensed Ohio office; and
 - c. Residential Finance's licensed location in Nevada is 7251 W. Mead

Boulevard, 3rd Floor, Las Vegas, Nevada 89128.

WHEREAS, pursuant to NRS 645E.670, "...for each violation committed by a licensee, the Commissioner may impose upon the licensee an administrative fine of not more than \$10,000, may suspend, revoke or place conditions upon his license, or may do both, if the licensee, whether or not acting as such... [i]s grossly negligent or incompetent in performing any act for which he is required to be licensed pursuant to the provisions of this chapter, or[d]oes not conduct his business in accordance with law or has violated any provision of this chapter, a regulation adopted pursuant to this chapter or an order of the Commissioner; and

WHEREAS, the PARTIES wish to resolve this matter without the necessity of the filing of a complaint and a formal hearing.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the representations, covenants and conditions set forth herein, the PARTIES stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. Respondent hereby acknowledges and agrees, with full knowledge, to waive its right to have the Division file a complaint and to go to a hearing in this matter.
- 2. The Parties agree that while Respondent's above-described actions constituted a technical violation of NRS 645E.670, these actions were neither willful nor intentional.
- 3. In light of the Parties' desire to resolve this matter, Respondent shall, pursuant to NRS 645E.670 and/or NRS 622.400, pay the sum of TWO HUNDRED AND FORTY DOLLARS (\$240) to the Parties' for examination and/or investigation costs directly related to the investigation of this case. Payment shall be made immediately upon Respondent's execution of this Stipulation
 - 4. In light of the Parties' desire to resolve this matter, the Respondent shall further:
- a. Immediately cease and desist from conducting activity requiring licensure pursuant to NRS Chapter 645E and the regulations promulgated thereto from any location not approved by the Division.

- b. Submit all the necessary paperwork to license its office located at 401 N. Front Street, Suite 300, Columbus, Ohio 43215.
 - c. Fully comply with all other applicable laws and regulations.
- 5. Respondent further acknowledges and agrees that in the event that Respondent violates any of the provision of the Agreement, the Division shall retain any and all remedies available to it in accordance with NRS Chapter 645E.
- 6. The Parties agree and acknowledge that this Agreement shall constitute the complete and final resolution of any issues arising out of the Division's investigation into Respondent's conduct as specified herein.
- 7. The Parties represent and warrant that the person executing the instant Agreement on behalf of each Party has full power and authority to do so, and has the legal capacity to conduct the legal obligations assigned to it hereunder.
- 8. Respondent further acknowledges and agrees that the Division shall retain the original of the Agreement.
- 9. This Agreement may be signed in counterparts and a facsimile signature shall be deemed as valid as an original, however, Respondent shall immediately forward all original signature pages to the Division.
- 10. The Agreement, as well as the rights and obligations of the Parties hereto shall be interpreted, governed, and construed pursuant to the laws of the State of Nevada.
- 11. Any action to enforce the Agreement shall be brought in the Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada in and for Clark County.
- 12. If the Division is successful in any action to enforce this Agreement, the court may award it attorney's fees. It is specifically agreed that reasonable attorney's fees for the State-employed attorneys shall be \$125 per hour.
 - 13. Failure to declare a breach or the actual waiver of any particular breach of the

Agreement or its material terms, by either Party, shall not operate as a waiver by such Party of any of its rights or remedies as to any other breach.

- 14. The Respondent has the right to retain an attorney to review this Agreement at its sole cost and expense and has freely and voluntarily chosen not to do so.
- 15. Other than the fines and investigative costs set forth herein, each side shall pay its own costs and fees, including attorney's fees.
- 16. If any provision contained in the Agreement is held to be unenforceable by a court of law or equity, the Agreement shall be construed as if such a provision(s) did not exist and the unenforceability of such provision(s) shall not be held to render any other provision(s) of the Agreement unenforceable.
- 17. The Agreement constitutes the entire Agreement of the Parties, and it is intended as a complete and exclusive statement of the promises, representations, negotiations, and discussions of the Parties. Unless otherwise expressly authorized by its terms, the Agreement shall be binding upon the Parties unless the same is in writing, signed by the respective Parties hereto, and approved by the Office of the Attorney General.
- 18, By executing this Agreement, you hereby agree that you are waiving certain rights as set forth herein. As the Respondent, you are specifically informed that you have the right to request that the Division file an administrative complaint against you, at any hearing on such a complaint you have the right to appear and be heard in your defense, either personally or through your counsel of choice. If the Division were to file a complaint, at the hearing, the Division would have the burden of proving the allegations in the complaint and would call witnesses and present evidence against you. You would have the right to respond and to present relevant evidence and argument on all issues involved. You would have the right to call and examine witnesses, introduce exhibits, and cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matter relevant to the issues involved.

You would have the right to request that the Commissioner of the Division issue subpoenas to compel witnesses to testify and/or evidence to be offered on your behalf. In making this request, you may be required to demonstrate the relevance of the witness's testimony and/or evidence. Other important rights you have are listed in NRS Chapter 645E and NRS Chapter 233B.

- 19. Neither this Agreement nor any statements made concerning this Agreement may be discussed or introduced into evidence at the hearing of the complaint, if the Division must ultimately put on a case based upon Respondent's failure to comply with the terms and conditions of this Agreement.
- 20. Respondent understands and agrees that this Agreement may be used to show that past violations have occurred should any future disciplinary action be taken by the Division.
- 21. In consideration of execution of this Agreement, the Respondent for itself, its owners, and their heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns, hereby release, remise, and forever discharge the State of Nevada, the Department of Business and Industry of the State of Nevada, the Division, and each of their members, agents, attorneys (including any and all employees of the Nevada Attorney General), and employees in their individual and representative capacities, from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, suits, debts, judgments, executions, claims, and demands whatsoever, known and unknown, in law or equity, that the Respondent ever had, now has, may have, or claim to have against any or all of the persons or entities named in this section, arising out of or by reason of the Division's investigation, into this matter, and all other matters relating thereto.
- 22. Respondent hereby indemnifies and holds harmless the State of Nevada, the Department of Business and Industry of the State of Nevada, the Division, and each of their members, agents, and employees, and employees of the Nevada Attorney General in their individual and representative capacities against any and all claims, suits, and actions brought against said persons and/or entities by reason of the Division's investigation into this disciplinary action, this Agreement, and all other matters relating thereto, and against any and

1	all expenses, damages, and costs, including court costs and attorney fees, which may be
2	sustained by the persons and/or entities named in this section as a result of said claims, suits,
3	and actions.
4	IN LAUTHECO MULEBEGE II. B. II. I. I
5	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused the Agreement to be signed and intend
6	to be legally bound thereby.
7	DATED this <u>28</u> day of April, 2009.
8	By: Utto S.V.P.
9	Residential Finance Corporation
10	DATED this 2009.
11	STATE OF NEVADA, DEPARTMENT OF
12	BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY, DIVISION OF MORTGAGE LENDING
13	By: / Right Whitely
14	Joseph L. Waltuch, Commissioner
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	
3	I certify that I am an employee of the State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry,
4	Division of Mortgage Lending, and that on May 11, 2009, I deposited in the U.S. mail, postage
5	prepaid via First Class Mail and Certified Return Receipt Requested, a true and correct copy of
6 7	the foregoing, FULLY EXECUTED STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT for
8	RESIDENTIAL FINANCE CORPORATION, addressed as follows:
9	Residential Finance Corporation
10 11	Jennifer E. Latta Esq. Associate Counsel
12	401 North Front Street Columbus, OH 43215
13	
14	Certified Receipt Number: 7006 2760 0000 0875 9605
15	
16	
17	
18	DATED this 8th day of May, 2009
19	
20 21	By:
22	Employee of the Division